COVID-19: Going Forward

- Prepared for BC Ministry of Health
- August 13, 2020

Epidemiology

How and Where the Virus Has Affected People in BC

Weekly Profile of COVID-19 Cases (July 31 – August 6)

|	
3,881 Total Cases	**52** % Female Sex
290 New Last Week ↑	**48** Median Age
550 Ever Hospitalized	**14** % Cases Hospitalized
8 New Last Week ↑	**69** Median Age
195 Deaths	**5** % Cases Died
1 New Last Week ↓	**85** Median Age
3,315 Removed From Isolation	**85** % Cases Removed
160 New Last Week	**50** Median Age

Note: Weekly comparison represents provincial data from July 31-August 6, 2020 compared to July 24-30, 2020.

Epidemic Curve: COVID-19 Cases in BC by Reported Date January 15 – August 8, 2020. (N=3,876*)

* The number of cases reported by day differs from that in Table 1 in previous reports as this figure reflects the date the case was lab-confirmed and reported to the Health Authority.

Likely Source of Infection for COVID-19 Cases in BC by Episode Date§, January 15 – August 5, 2020. (N=3,878)

§ Episode date is based on symptom onset date (n=3,592), if not available then date COVID-19 was reported to health authority (n=286).
* March 16: Entry of foreign nationals banned; symptomatic individuals banned from flights to Canada; international flights restricted to four national airports.
** March 20: US/Canada border closed to non-essential travel.

Geographic Distribution of COVID-19 by Health Service Delivery Area of Case Residence

Notes: 1. Cases mapped by location of residence; cases with unknown HSDA and from out of province not mapped. 2. Data from the case line lists of the five regional health authorities of British Columbia. 3. COVID-19 may be circulating undetected in the community including where no cases have been identified by public health.

**Cumulative total: cases reported January 1 to Aug 6, 2020**

N = 3,881 cases

NW 41
NE 16
NI 36
NSCG
TCS 82
NVI
NVI 59
NSCG
FE 677
OK 270
KB 13
EK 20
CVI 37
50
SVI

Greater Vancouver Inset

NSCG 284
FN 514
VAN 736
RICH 104
FS 850

Cumulative rate per 100,000 population of COVID-19 cases

0.1 - 25.0
25.1 - 50.0
50.1 - 75.0
75.0 - 100.0
100.0 +

Past 14 days: cases reported July 24 to August 6, 2020

N = 452 cases

NW 14
NE 0
NI 0
NSCG
TCS 6
NVI
NVI 0
NSCG
FE 122
OK 50
KB 0
EK 0
CVI 4
0
SVI

Greater Vancouver Inset

NSCG 14
FN 44
VAN 51
RICH 5
FS 112

Past 14 days rate per 100,000 population of COVID-19 cases

0.0
0.1 - 5.0
5.1 - 10.0
10.1 - 15.0
15.0 +

Number of Cases by Week Reported and Age Group, BC, January 26 - August 8, 2020 (N=3,999*)

*20 cases without age are excluded from analysis.

Proportion of Cases by Phase and Age Group, BC, January 15 - August 8, 2020

Legend:
- 90+ Years
- 80-89 Years
- 70-79 Years
- 60-69 Years
- 50-59 Years
- 40-49 Years
- 30-39 Years
- 20-29 Years
- 10-19 Years
- <10 Years

X-axis: Jan 15-May18, May 19-June 23, June 24-Aug 8

Local Known Exposures Reported by COVID-19 Cases by Phase and Age Group, Cases Aged <20 Years and 20-39 Years, BC, January 15 - August 1, 2020


Note: No children were exposed to COVID-19 in childcare or school settings.

Pre-phase 1 & Phase 1 - cases before May 19, 2020. Phase 2 – cases between May 19 and June 23. Phase 3 – cases between June 24 and August 1.
* **Other known exposures** include contact with a COVID-19 case outside of the household and exposure to clusters not reported as outbreaks.

Number and Percentage Distribution of COVID-19 Cases, Hospitalizations, ICU Admissions and Deaths by Age, Compared to the General Population of BC, January 1 – August 6, 2020 (N=3,863*)

| Age group	COVID cases n (%)	Cases ever hospitalized n (%)	Cases ever in ICU n (%)	COVID deaths n (%)	General population† n (%)
<10 Years	78 (2)	2 (<1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	468,280 (9)
10-19 Years	162 (4)	1 (<1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	507,197 (10)
20-29 Years	648 (17)	16 (3)	5 (3)	0 (0)	684,681 (13)
30-39 Years	691 (18)	38 (7)	11 (6)	0 (0)	730,523 (14)
40-49 Years	558 (14)	51 (9)	19 (10)	2 (1)	647,790 (13)
50-59 Years	635 (16)	82 (15)	32 (17)	5 (3)	721,355 (14)
60-69 Years	399 (10)	106 (19)	43 (23)	17 (9)	675,632 (13)
70-79 Years	288 (7)	129 (23)	60 (32)	35 (18)	436,179 (9)
80-89 Years	254 (7)	89 (16)	17 (9)	81 (42)	188,010 (4)
90+ Years	150 (4)	36 (7)	3 (2)	55 (28)	50,876 (1)
Total	3,863	550	190	195	5,110,523

* Only cases with age information available are included.
† PEOPLE2019-2020 population estimates.

Percentage Distribution of COVID-19 Cases, Hospitalizations, ICU Admissions and Deaths by Age, Compared to the General Population [†] of BC, January 1 – August 6, 2020 (N=3,863*)

* Only cases with age information available are included. [†] PEOPLE2019-2020 population estimates.
Note: COVID hospitalizations have been reported in the <10y and 10-19y age groups but represent <1% of hospitalizations and are therefore not visible.

Weekly Summary of COVID-19 Lab Testing

|		
280,027 Total Specimens Tested	**11,525** New This Week	**54,794** Tests Per Million
5,114 Total Positive Specimens	**177** New Positive This Week	**1.93 Positivity Rate** ⬆ 13% From Last Week
22.2 Mean Turnaround Time	⬇ **8%** Change Since Last Week	Lab Capacity: **Good**

Data source: PLOVER extract at 10:45 on Aug 7th, 2020. Current epi week is truncated to Thursday.

British Columbians' Mobility

Mobility data indicates a return to pre-intervention activity levels with higher park usage, decrease in time spent in residential spaces and increase in other activities such as groceries/pharmacies, retail, workplace and transit stations.

Mobility index based on GPS data from smartphone users who have location services set to "on" (opt-in). It shows how visits to, and length of stay at, different location types compare to a baseline period Jan 3-Feb 6.
[Data Source](#)

Daily Precipitation (Vancouver)

mobility data for BC, compared to baseline period (Jan 2nd-Feb 6th, 2020) (3-day smoother)

Social Distancing Measures Enacted →

parks

grocery/pha
residential
retail/recrea
workplaces
transit statio

Daily COVID-19 cases (reported date)

Dynamic Compartmental Modelling: Recent Trends

Our model-based estimate of R_t (average daily number of new infections generated per case) shows that BC is above the threshold for epidemic control.

Threshold for sustained growth in new cases

Solid line: median R_t; light band: 95% credible intervals; Pink bars: reported cases, excluding those attributed to outbreak clusters; The most recent case counts and R_t values are not shown due to data lags from transmission to case reporting.

Dynamic Compartmental Modelling: Projections

- Our model projections suggest that the number of new cases may continue to increase during the summer.

- These projections are based on the assumption that recent trends in new cases will continue.

Solid line: mean; shaded bands: 50% and 90% credible intervals; Open circles: reported cases. Cases used for model fitting exclude those attributed to outbreak clusters.

Dynamic Compartmental Modelling: Scenarios

Scenarios from our model illustrate the importance of reducing infectious contacts.
Poorer compliance with public health advice could lead to a rebound in new cases.

Contact Rate at **50%** of Normal

Contact Rate at **60%** of Normal

Contact Rate at **70%** of Normal

Contact Rate at **80%** of Normal

Contact Trace Modelling: Scenarios

With relaxed distancing, contact tracing needs to be both <u>complete</u> and <u>prompt</u> in order to prevent sustained transmission.

Contact Trace Modelling: Scenarios

Complete contact tracing helps to ensure epidemic control when population level restrictions are relaxed.

Need to trace **at least 75% of contacts** to maintain epidemic control

Need to trace **more than 75% of contacts** to maintain epidemic control

Contact Tracing Modelling: Key Messages

- As we have relaxed distancing measures, strong contact tracing in BC has provided a buffer against renewed growth of cases.

- As we further relax, the completeness and rapidity of contact tracing will be even more important for controlling transmission, in combination with self-isolation by sick individuals and strict hygiene practices.

BC COVID-19 Population Health Survey: Your Story, Our Future

1 in 10 adult British Columbians completed the survey (n = 394,382).

This survey was funded by the BCCDC Foundation for Public Health.

NORTHERN
15,445
(4%)

VANCOUVER COASTAL
111,167
(28%)

VANCOUVER ISLAND
97,164
(25%)

FRASER
114,105
(29%)

INTERIOR
56,501
(14%)

Impact on People of Different Racial and Ethnic Backgrounds

West Asian, Latin American and South Asian respondents were the most likely to report increased difficulty meeting financial needs.

Percent of respondents reporting increased difficulty meeting financial needs.

Bar chart values:
- West Asian / Arab: 44.9%
- Latin American /...: 41.1%
- South Asian: 40.6%
- Southeast Asian /...: 38%
- Black: 36.5%
- Multiple / Other: 36.2%
- Chinese: 35.5%
- Japanese / Korean: 34%
- BC Overall: 32.4%
- Caucasian: 29%

Latin American, West Asian and Black respondents were the most likely to report not working due to COVID-19.

Percent of respondents not working due to COVID-19.

Bar chart values:
- Latin American /...: 22.6%
- West Asian / Arab: 21.5%
- Black: 21.1%
- Multiple / Other: 19%
- Southeast Asian /...: 18.9%
- South Asian: 18.4%
- Japanese / Korean: 16.9%
- Chinese: 16.4%
- BC Overall: 15.5%
- Caucasian: 14%

Caucasian Respondents...

Had less difficulty making ends meet

Fewer were not working due to COVID-19

Were less likely to avoid health care

Had less food insecurity

COVID-19 IN BC

21

# Impact on People of Different Racial and Ethnic Backgrounds

Japanese, Korean, multi-ethnic and South Asian respondents were the most likely to report having difficulty accessing healthcare.

Percent of respondents reporting difficulty accessing healthcare.

Group	Percent
Japanese / Korean	30.3%
Multiple / Other	26.7%
South Asian	26.5%
BC Overall	24.5%
Caucasian	23.6%
Latin American / ...	22.7%
Black	21.9%
West Asian / Arab	21.5%
Chinese	21.3%
Southeast Asian / ...	20.9%

Latin American, Southeast Asian and Black respondents were the most likely to report increased connection to family.

Percent of respondents reporting increased connection to family.

Group	Percent
Latin American / ...	45%
Southeast Asian / ...	39.8%
Black	39.4%
Japanese / Korean	31.7%
Multiple / Other	30.2%
South Asian	29.9%
West Asian / Arab	28.4%
BC Overall	27.1%
Caucasian	26.2%
Chinese	26.1%

Caucasian Respondents...

- Had less impact on recreational/ physical activity
- Lower impact of child screen time
- Fewer concerns about their own health
- More likely to report increased alcohol consumption

Individuals with Lower Income Suffer More Negative Economic and Health Consequences

Individuals with incomes less than $60,000 had increased difficulty meeting financial need, were more food insecure and were more likely to be out of work.

Percent of respondents reporting economic impacts since pandemic.

Legend: <$20,000 | $20,000-$59,000 | $60,000-$99,000 | $100,000-$139,000 | ≥$140,000

Category	<$20,000	$20,000-$59,000	$60,000-$99,000	$100,000-$139,000	≥$140,000
Difficulty meeting financial needs-increased	51.1	37.8	32.1	30.8	24.3
Food insecure since pandemic	41.8	24.5	14.2	10.7	6.4
Not working due to COVID	24.5	19.8	15.2	13.9	9.2

Individuals With Lower Income…

More likely to have ≥ 1 chronic health condition

Experienced increased concern for health

Less able to stay home from work when sick

More difficulty accessing healthcare

COVID-19 IN BC

23

# Impact on People of Different Racial and Ethnic Backgrounds Among Households With School-aged Children

Chinese, Japanese, Korean and multi-ethnic households were the most likely to report their children experiencing impaired learning.

Percent of households with children reporting experiencing impaired learning.

Ethnicity	Percent
Chinese	81.0%
Japanese / Korean	78.2%
BC overall	76.1%
Multiple / Other	75.8%
Caucasian	75.7%
Southeast Asian / Filipino	74.7%
South Asian	74.2%
Latin American / Hispanic	73.5%
West Asian / Arab	73.0%
Black	72.3%

Latin American, Caucasian, Japanese and Korean households were the most likely to report increased child stress.

Percent of households with children reporting increased child stress.

Ethnicity	Percent
Latin American / Hispanic	62.1%
Caucasian	61.7%
Japanese / Korean	60.8%
BC overall	59.2%
Multiple / Other	58.6%
Black	54.3%
West Asian / Arab	53.8%
South Asian	53.3%
Southeast Asian / Filipino	50.8%
Chinese	46.7%

Among Households With School-aged Children…

76% had their children's learning impaired

78% report decreased connection with friends

59% report increased child stress

Economic Differences Among Households with School-aged Children

Among households with children, lower income households had increased child stress, decreased connection with friends and higher levels of impaired learning.

Percent of households with children reporting social and education impacts since pandemic

Household children experiencing impaired learning
- 72.2%
- 74.4%
- 75.6%
- 76.3%
- 78.8%

Households children with decreased connection with friends
- 64.8%
- 70.0%
- 76.7%
- 80.7%
- 83.3%

Households with increased child stress
- 64.4%
- 61.4%
- 60.4%
- 59.5%
- 57.0%

Legend: <$20,000 | $20,000-$59,000 | $60,000-$99,000 | $100,000-$139,000 | >=$140,000

Among Households With School-aged Children…

76% had their children's learning impaired

78% report decreased connection with friends

59% report increased child stress